

RESTRICTED ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS WHOSE SKEW AND SYMMETRIC ELEMENTS ARE LIE METABELIAN

SALVATORE SICILIANO AND HAMID USEFI

ABSTRACT. Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and denote by $u(L)$ its restricted enveloping algebra. We establish when the symmetric or skew elements of $u(L)$ under the principal involution are Lie metabelian.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be an algebra with involution $*$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$. We denote by $A^+ = \{x \in A \mid x^* = x\}$ the set of symmetric elements of A under $*$ and by $A^- = \{x \in A \mid x^* = -x\}$ the set of skew-symmetric elements. A general question of interest is to establish the extent to which the structure of A^+ or A^- determines the structure of A (see [8]). For instance, a celebrated result of Amitsur in [1] states that if A^+ or A^- satisfies a polynomial identity, then so does A . Moreover, a considerable amount of attention has been devoted to decide if Lie properties satisfied by the symmetric or the skew symmetric elements of a group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$ under the canonical involution are also satisfied by the whole algebra $\mathbb{F}G$, see e.g. [5, 6, 9, 10, 11].

Now, let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$ and let $u(L)$ be the restricted enveloping algebra of L . We denote by $\top$ the *principal involution* of $u(L)$, that is, the unique $\mathbb{F}$ -antiautomorphism of $u(L)$ such that $x^\top = -x$ for every x in L . We recall that $\top$ is just the antipode of the $\mathbb{F}$ -Hopf algebra $u(L)$. In [14] and [16] the conditions under which $u(L)^-$ or $u(L)^+$ are Lie solvable, Lie nilpotent or bounded Lie Engel were provided. It turns out that $u(L)^-$ or $u(L)^+$ are Lie solvable if and only if so is $u(L)$. The aim of this note is to characterize L when $u(L)^-$ or $u(L)^+$ are Lie metabelian. Our main result is the following:

Date: November 14, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16S30, 17B60, 16R40, 16W10, 17B50.

Key words and phrases. Restricted Lie algebra, enveloping algebra, polynomial identity, Lie metabelian, skew and symmetric elements.

The research of second author was supported by NSERC of Canada.

Main Theorem. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$. Then the following hold:*

- 1) $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian if and only if either L is abelian or $p = 3$, L' is 1-dimensional and central, and $L'^{[p]} = 0$.
- 2) $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (i) L is abelian;
 - (ii) $p = 3$, L' is 1-dimensional and central, and $L'^{[p]} = 0$;
 - (iii) $p = 3$ and L is 2-dimensional.

Note that Lie metabelian restricted enveloping algebras have been characterized in [15]. By combining this result and our main theorem, one concludes that in odd characteristic $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian if and only if so is $u(L)$. This remains true for the symmetric case provided that $p > 3$, but if L is a 2-dimensional non-abelian restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 3, then $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian whereas $u(L)$ is not. It seems interesting that this is indeed the only exception. We also show that in characteristic 2 our main theorem fails both for skew and symmetric case. We finally mention that analogous results for group algebras have been carried out in [3, 4, 12, 13].

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, $\mathbb{F}$ will denote a field of characteristic $p \geq 3$.

Let A be an associative algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. The Lie bracket on A is defined by $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for every $x, y \in A$. We set $[x_1, x_2]^o = [x_1, x_2]$ and define inductively

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n+1}]^o = [[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}]^o, [x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2n+1}]^o].$$

A subset S of A is said to be Lie solvable if there exists an n such that

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n+1}]^o = 0,$$

for every $x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1} \in S$. In particular, if $n = 2$ then we say S is Lie metabelian.

If L is a restricted Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ then we denote by L' the derived subalgebra of L . For a subset S of L we denote by $\langle S \rangle_p$ the restricted ideal of L generated by S . The centralizer of S in L is denoted by $C_L(S)$ and $Z(L)$ is the center of L . Moreover, for every positive integer n , we use $\zeta_n(L)$ to denote the n -th term of the ascending central series of L .

For the proof of our main result we first need to prove some technical lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra and suppose that L contains an $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent set $\{a, b, c, v, w\}$ such that $[a, b] = v$, $[a, c] = w$, $[b, c] = 0$, and $v, w \in Z(L)$. Then $u(L)^-$ and $u(L)^+$ are not Lie metabelian.*

Proof. Since all the elements a, b, a^2w, c^2v are skew-symmetric, we have

$$[[a^2w, b], [a, c^2v]] = [2avw, 2cvw] = 4vw^2 \neq 0,$$

by the PBW Theorem for restricted Lie algebras (see e.g. [17, §2, Theorem 5.1]). Hence, $u(L)^-$ is not Lie metabelian. Now note that $2ac - w$ and $2ab - v$ are symmetric and so we have

$$[[2ac - w, c^2], [av, 2ab - v]] = [4c^2w, 2av^2] = -16cv^2w^2 \neq 0,$$

by the PBW Theorem. Hence, $u(L)^+$ is not Lie metabelian. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra and suppose that L contains an $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent set $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, v, w\}$ such that $[x_1, x_2] = v$, $[y_1, y_2] = w$, $[x_i, y_j] = 0$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, and $v, w \in Z(L)$. Then $u(L)^-$ and $u(L)^+$ are not Lie metabelian.*

Proof. Since $x_1, x_2, x_1y_1w, x_2y_2^2 \in u(L)^-$, we have

$$[[x_1y_1w, x_2], [x_1, x_2y_2^2]] = [y_1vw, y_2^2v] = 2y_2v^2w^2 \neq 0,$$

by the PBW Theorem. Hence, $u(L)^-$ is not Lie metabelian. As for the symmetric case, note that

$$[[x_1y_1, x_2y_1], [x_1y_1, y_2^2]] = [y_1^2v, 2x_1y_2w] = 4x_1y_1vw^2 \neq 0,$$

implying that $u(L)^+$ is not Lie metabelian. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra and suppose that L contains an $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent set $\{x, y, v, w\}$ such that $[x, y] = v$, $[y, v] = w$, $[x, v] = 0$, and $w \in Z(L)$. Then $u(L)^-$ and $u(L)^+$ are not Lie metabelian.*

Proof. Note that $u = 2xyw - vw$ is skew-symmetric. Since

$$[[u, y], [x, y]] = [2vyw + w^2, v] = 2vw^2 \neq 0,$$

we deduce that $u(L)^-$ is not Lie metabelian. Since all the elements $x^2, y^2, vw, 2xy - v$ are symmetric, we have

$$[[x^2, 2xy - v], [y^2, vw]] = [4x^2v, 2yw^2] = 16xv^2w^2 - 8x^2w^3 \neq 0,$$

by the PBW Theorem, hence $u(L)^+$ is not Lie metabelian. $\square$

The following elementary result is likely well-known. However, since we do not have a reference, we offer a short proof.

Proposition 2.4. *Let L be locally nilpotent Lie algebra over any field. If L' is finite-dimensional then L is nilpotent of class at most $\dim L' + 1$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that every finite-dimensional subalgebra H of L is nilpotent of class at most $\dim L' + 1$. To do so, we prove by induction on $n = \dim H'$ that H is nilpotent of class at most $n + 1$. If $n = 0$, the assertion is clear. Now let H be a non-abelian finite-dimensional subalgebra of L . Since H is nilpotent, there exists a non-zero central element $x \in H'$. Now consider $\bar{H} = H/\langle x \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $\dim \bar{H}' < n$, we deduce by the induction hypothesis that $\bar{H}$ is nilpotent of class at most n . Hence, H is nilpotent of class at most $n + 1$, as required. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra. If $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian then L is nilpotent. The same conclusion holds when $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian provided $p \neq 3$.*

Proof. We know by Theorem 1 in [14] and Theorem 1.3 in [16] that L' is finite-dimensional. Hence, by Proposition 2.4, it is enough to show that L is locally nilpotent. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the ground field is algebraically closed. Now, let H be a finite-dimensional subalgebra of L and assume that H is not nilpotent. By the Engel's Theorem, then there exists an element $y \in H$ such that the adjoint map $\text{ad } y$ is not a nilpotent linear transformation. Hence, $\text{ad } y$ has a non-zero eigenvalue λ . Thus, there exists $x \in H$ such that $[x, y] = \lambda x$. Now we rescale y to assume that $[x, y] = x$. Note that the element $2xy^2 - 2xy + x$ is skew-symmetric and one has

$$[[x, y], [2xy^2 - 2xy + x, y]] = [x, 2xy^2 - 2xy + x] = 4x^2y - 4x^2 \neq 0,$$

by the PBW Theorem. Therefore, if $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian, we have a contradiction. Hence, H must be nilpotent.

For the symmetric case note that $2xy - x$ is symmetric and we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[2xy - x, y^2], [x^2, 2xy - x]] &= [4xy^2 - 4xy + x, 4x^3] \\ &= 48(-x^3y + 3x^3 + x^4) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $p > 3$ and by the PBW Theorem. Hence, $u(L)^+$ is not Lie metabelian which is a contradiction. We conclude again that H must be nilpotent. $\square$

In characteristic 3, L need not be nilpotent when $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian. Indeed, we have:

Lemma 2.6. *Let L be the 2-dimensional non-abelian restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 3. Then $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian.*

Proof. It is easy to see that L has a basis x, y such that $[x, y] = x$, $x^{[3]} = 0$, $y^{[3]} = y$ (see Section 2.1 of [17]). As $\mathbb{F}$ has odd characteristic, $u(L)^+$ is spanned by the trace elements $a + a^\top$, $a \in u(L)$. Thus we have

$$u(L)^+ = \langle 1, 2xy - x, x^2, y^2, x^2y^2 - 2x^2y \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

By explicit computations we get

$$[u(L)^+, u(L)^+] = \langle x^2y - x^2, xy^2 - xy + x \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

As $[x^2y - x^2, xy^2 - xy + x] = 0$, we conclude that $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra. If $u(L)^+$ or $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian then either L is abelian or $p = 3$ and the power mapping acts trivially on central commutators of L .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in L$ and set $z = [x, y]$. Suppose that z is central. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [[2xy - z, yz], [x^2, 2xy - z]] &= [2yz^2, 4x^2z] = -16xz^4 \in \delta_2(u(L)^+); \\ [[x^2z, y], [x^2y - xz, y]] &= [2xz^2, 2xyz - z^2] = 4xz^4 \in \delta_2(u(L)^-). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $u(L)^+$ or $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian then we deduce by the PBW Theorem that either $z = 0$ or $p = 3$ and $z^3 = 0$. We conclude that if $u(L)^+$ or $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian then either L is abelian or $p = 3$ and the power mapping acts trivially on central commutators. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *Let L be a non-nilpotent restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 3. If $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian then L is 2-dimensional.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the ground field $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. In view of Theorem 1.3 of [16], L' is finite-dimensional. Since L is not nilpotent we deduce from Proposition 2.4 that L contains a non-nilpotent finite-dimensional subalgebra H . By the Engel's Theorem, there exists $y \in H$ such that the adjoint map $\text{ad } y$ is not nilpotent. Let λ be a non-zero eigenvalue of $\text{ad } y$. Then there exists $x \in H$ such that $[x, y] = \lambda x$. Now replace y by $\lambda^{-1}y$ to assume that $[x, y] = x$.

We claim that $C_L(x, y) = 0$. Let $a \in C_L(x, y)$. As $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian we must have

$$0 = [[xa, ya], [2xy - x, y^2]] = [xa^2, xy^2 - xy + x] = 2x^2a^2y - 2x^2a^2,$$

and so the PBW Theorem forces that $a = \alpha x + \beta y$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. As $[a, x] = [a, y] = 0$, it follows that $a = 0$, as claimed.

Since $\dim L/C_L(x) = \dim[L, x]$ and $\dim L/C_L(y) = \dim[L, y]$ are both finite, we conclude that L is finite-dimensional. Moreover, as $x^{[3]}$ and $y - y^{[3]}$ both commute with x and y , this also entails that $x^{[3]} = 0$ and $y = y^{[3]}$. Therefore we have that $\text{ad } y = (\text{ad } y)^3$ and so L decomposes as

$$L = L_0 \oplus L_1 \oplus L_2,$$

where L_λ denotes the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ of the linear transformation $\text{ad } y$, for $\lambda = 0, 1, 2$.

We now claim that $L_0 = \mathbb{F}y$. Suppose to the contrary and let $a \in L_0$ such that a and y are linearly independent. If we had that $[a, x] = kx$ for some $k \in \mathbb{F}$, then $[x, a + ky] = 0 = [y, a + ky]$ and so $a + ky \in C_L(x, y) = 0$, a contradiction. As $[a, x] \in L_1$, it follows that the set $\{x, y, a, [a, x]\}$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent. Put $b = [[a, x], x] \in L_2$ and note that $[b, x] = [a, x^{[3]}] = 0$. As $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian, we have

$$0 = [[x^2, y^2], [y^2, b^2]] = [x^2y - x^2, b^2y + b^2] = x^2b^2y.$$

Hence, x, y and b are linearly dependent by the PBW Theorem. Since x, y and b are in distinct eigenspaces of $\text{ad } x$, we conclude that $b = 0$. Put $c = [a, x] \in L_1$ and suppose, if possible, that $c \neq 0$. As x and c are linearly independent, by the PBW Theorem, we have

$$[[xc, y^2], [2xy - x, 2cy - c]] = [xcy - xc, 2xc] = 2x^2c^2 \neq 0,$$

hence $u(L)^+$ is not metabelian, a contradiction. Consequently, we have $a \in C_L(x, y) = 0$, another contradiction, which yields the claim.

Next we prove that $L_2 = 0$. Suppose by way of contradiction that L_2 contains a non-zero element z . Then $[z, x] \in L_0$ and so, for what was proved above, we have $[x, z] = \beta y$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$. As $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[x^2, y^2], [y^2, 2zy + z]] = [x^2y - x^2, zy^2 + zy + z] \\ &= 2x^2zy^2 - x^2zy + (1 - \beta)xy^2 + (1 - \beta)xy. \end{aligned}$$

As the elements x, y , and z are linearly independent, this contradicts the PBW Theorem, yielding the claim.

We finally prove that $L_1 = \mathbb{F}x$. Suppose to the contrary and let $v \in L_1$ such that v and x are linearly independent. Then $[v, x] \in L_2 = 0$ and by the PBW Theorem we have

$$[[x^2, y^2], [y^2, v^2]] = [x^2y - x^2, 2v^2y + v^2] = 2x^2v^2 \neq 0,$$

contradicting the fact that $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian. This finishes the proof. $\square$

We are now in a position to prove our main result:

Proof of the Main Theorem. The sufficiency of both parts of the statement follows from [15]. Let us prove the necessity. Note that, by Lemma 2.7, if $u(L)^+$ is Lie metabelian and L is not nilpotent then case 2(iii) occurs. Therefore, for the rest of the proof, we assume that $u(L)^+$ or $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian and L is nilpotent. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.7, it is enough to show that if $p = 3$ and L is not abelian then L' is 1-dimensional. Assume, by contradiction, that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} L' > 1$. Let n be the nilpotence class of L and put $\mathfrak{L} = L/\zeta_{n-2}(L)$. We proceed by considering the following three cases.

Case 1: $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{L}' > 1$ and there exist $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that the elements $x_4 = [x_1, x_2]$ and $x_5 = [x_1, x_3]$ are $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent.

If $x_6 = [x_2, x_3] \notin \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ then since $\mathfrak{L}$ is nilpotent of class 2, we have that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent. Furthermore, from Lemma 2.7 applied to $\mathfrak{L}$ we have that $\langle x_6 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a restricted ideal of L . Put

$$\bar{H} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \rangle_p / \langle x_6 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to $\bar{H}$ and get a contradiction.

On the other hand, if $x_6 = \alpha x_4 + \beta x_5$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, then put

$$\tilde{H} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle_p$$

and, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$, define:

$$\tilde{x}_i = \begin{cases} -\beta x_1 + x_2, & \text{if } i = 2; \\ \alpha x_1 + x_3, & \text{if } i = 3; \\ x_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\tilde{H}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3, \tilde{x}_4, \tilde{x}_5\}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 and we get a contradiction again.

Case 2: $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{L}' > 1$ and for every $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{L}$ the elements $[a, b]$ and $[a, c]$ are $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly dependent. Let $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that the elements $v = [x_1, x_2]$ and $w = [y_1, y_2]$ are $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent and observe that x_i and y_j must commute, for $i, j = 1, 2$. Since $\mathfrak{L}$ is nilpotent of class 2, $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, v, w\}$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent. Now we apply Lemma 2.2 to $H = \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, v, w \rangle_p$ and get a contradiction.

Case 3: $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{L}' = 1$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} L' \geq 2$, L has nilpotency class at least 3. Put $\bar{L} = L/\zeta_{n-3}(L)$. Note that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \frac{\bar{L}' + Z(\bar{L})}{Z(\bar{L})} = 1.$$

It follows that $\bar{L}$ is not 2-Engel because every 2-Engel Lie algebra is nilpotent of class at most 2. As a consequence, we can find elements $x, y \in \bar{L}$ such that $[[x, y], y] \neq 0$. Put $v = [x, y]$, $w = [y, v]$, and $z = [x, v]$. Suppose first $z \notin \langle w \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that $\{x, y, v, w, z\}$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent. Moreover, by Lemma 2.7, $\langle z \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a restricted ideal. Now we apply Lemma 2.3 to $\bar{H} = \langle x, y, v, w, z \rangle_p / \langle z \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ to get a contradiction.

Finally if $z = \alpha w$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ then replace x by $x - \alpha y$ and apply Lemma 2.3 to $H = \langle x, y, v, w \rangle_p$ to get a contradiction again, which completes the proof. $\square$

By combining Theorem 1 with the main result of [15] we get:

Corollary 2.9. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $p > 2$. Then $u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian if and only if so is $u(L)$.*

Unlike the skew case, in view of Lemma 2.8, the fact that the symmetric elements are Lie metabelian does not force the whole algebra $u(L)$ is Lie metabelian if $p = 3$. We also observe that Corollary 2.9 and our main theorem fail in characteristic 2, as the following example shows.

Example 2.10. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 2 and consider the restricted Lie algebra L over $\mathbb{F}$ given by $L = \langle x, y, z \mid [x, y] = x, [x, z] = [y, z] = z^2 = 0, x^2 = z, y^2 = y \rangle$. Note that the p -map is not trivial on L' . However, it is easy to see that $u(L)^+ = u(L)^- = \langle x, y, z, xy, yz \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Hence, $u(L)^+ = u(L)^-$ is Lie metabelian despite the fact that $u(L)$ is not.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.A. Amitsur: *Rings with involution*, Israel J. Math. **6** (1968), 99–106.
- [2] S.A. Amitsur: *Identities in rings with involutions*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 63–68.
- [3] O. Broche - A. del Rio - M. Ruiz: *Group rings with Lie metabelian set of symmetric elements*, Forum Math., to appear.
- [4] F. Catino - G. Lee - E. Spinelli: *Group algebras whose symmetric elements are Lie metabelian*, Forum Math. **26** (2014), 1459–1471.
- [5] A. Giambruno - C. Polcino Milies - S.K. Sehgal: *Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 890–902.
- [6] A. Giambruno - S.K. Sehgal: *Lie nilpotence of group rings*, Comm. Alg. **21** (1993), 4253–4261.
- [7] I.N. Herstein: *Special simple rings with involution*, J. Algebra **6** (1967), 369–375.
- [8] I.N. Herstein: *Rings with Involution*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.

- [9] E. Jespers - M. Ruiz Marín: *On symmetric elements and symmetric units in group rings*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 727–736.
- [10] G. Lee -S.K. Sehgal - E. Spinelli, *Group algebras whose symmetric and skew elements are Lie solvable*, Forum Math. **21** (2009) 661–671.
- [11] G. Lee - S.K. Sehgal - E. Spinelli: *Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings. II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 1173–1178.
- [12] G. Lee - E. Spinelli: *Lie metabelian skew elements in group rings*, Glasgow Math. J. **56** (2014), 187–195.
- [13] F. Levin - G. Rosenberger: *On Lie metabelian group rings*, Results in Math. **26** (1994), 83–88.
- [14] S. Siciliano: *On the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric elements of an enveloping algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 72–76.
- [15] S. Siciliano - E. Spinelli: *Lie metabelian restricted universal enveloping algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **84** (2005), 398 – 405.
- [16] S. Siciliano - H. Usefi: *Lie identities on symmetric elements of restricted enveloping algebras*, Israel J. Math. **195**(2013), 999–2012.
- [17] H. Strade - R. Farnsteiner: *Modular Lie algebras and their representations*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA “ENNIO DE GIORGI”, UNIVERSITÀ
DEL SALENTO, VIA PROVINCIALE LECCE–ARNESANO, 73100–LECCE, ITALY
E-mail address: salvatore.siciliano@unisalento.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF
NEWFOUNDLAND, ST. JOHN’S, NL, CANADA, A1C 5S7
E-mail address: usefi@mun.ca